

**ORDER SHEET**  
**West Bengal Administrative Tribunal**

Present.-  
The Hon'ble Mrs. Urmita Datta (Sen)  
&  
The Hon'ble Dr. A. K. Chanda

**Case No. OA – 1001 of 2017**

*Sukumar Mahato*

Versus

*The State of West Bengal & Others.*

Serial No. and  
date of order

Order of the Tribunal  
with signature

Office action with date  
and dated signature of  
parties when necessary.

1

2

3

03  
09/04/2018

**For the Applicant** : *Mr. Sankha Ghosh,  
Mr. Arindam Mitra,  
Advocates.*

**For the State Respondents** : *Mrs. Sunita Agarwal,  
Advocate.*

*The instant application has been filed basically praying for extension of benefit of the Memorandum No. 9008-F(P) dated 16/09/2011 and subsequent Memorandums.*

*According to the applicant, he is working since 2003 as a Daily Rated Worker till date. He made repeated representations to the authorities to extend the benefit of the Memorandum dated 16/09/2011. Even on 14/07/2016 (Annexure -B), the Additional Director of MSME (Electronics), Directorate of Micro, Small & Medium Enterprises Department vide his letter dated 14/07/2016 to the Joint Secretary to the Government of West Bengal, Micro, Small & Medium Enterprises & Textile Department had forwarded a list of Daily Rated / Contractual / Casual Worker, wherein the applicant's name is appearing at serial no. 8, for approval to get the benefit of order no. 1107-F(P)*

**ORDER SHEET – (Continuation)**

Form No.

*Sukumar Mahato*  
.....

Vs

*The State of West Bengal & Others.*  
.....Case No. **OA – 1001 of 2017**

Serial No. and date of order  1	Order of the Tribunal with signature  2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary.  3
	<p><i>dated 25/02/2016. But, till date, neither any decision has been taken nor any benefit has been extended to him. Being aggrieved with, he has filed this application.</i></p> <p><i>However, during the course of the hearing, the Counsel for the applicant has fairly submitted that since, one of the authorities concerned has already recommended his name for extension of benefit and his representations are lying with respondent no.6, therefore, it would suffice his purpose if the respondent no.6 would be directed to consider his case treating this OA as a part of it, in the light of the circular dated 16/09/2011 and subsequent circulars as well as the latest circular 25/02/2016, to take a decision within a stipulated period of time.</i></p> <p><i>The Counsel for the respondents has no objection to such proposal. She has further submitted that the respondents may consider his case in the light of the circulars relevant for this purpose.</i></p> <p><i>Heard the parties and perused the records.</i></p> <p><i>In view of the above, the respondent no. 6 i.e. the General Manager, Directorate of Micro &amp; Small Enterprises is directed to consider the</i></p>	

**ORDER SHEET – (Continuation)**

Form No.

*Sukumar Mahato*  
.....

Vs

*The State of West Bengal & Others.*  
.....Case No. **OA – 1001 of 2017**

Serial No. and date of order  1	Order of the Tribunal with signature  2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary.  3
<i>Rajat</i>	<p><i>representations of the applicant, treating this OA as a part of it, in the light of the circular 16/09/2011 and subsequent circulars and to take a decision and to communicate the same to the applicant by way of a reasoned and speaking order within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of this order.</i></p> <p><i>Accordingly, the application is disposed of with no order as to cost.</i></p> <p><b>Dr. A. K. CHANDA</b> <b>MEMBER(A)</b></p> <p><b>URMITA DATTA (SEN)</b> <b>MEMBER(J)</b></p>	

**ORDER SHEET – (Continuation)**

Form No.

*Sukumar Mahato*  
.....

Vs

*The State of West Bengal & Others.*  
.....Case No. **OA – 1001 of 2017**

Serial No. and date of order	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary.
1	2	3